UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
		V. Victor Lamont Garcia Defendant	Case No. 1:12-cr-00107-RJJ		
that t		fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform A efendant be detained pending trial.	ct, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
		Part I – Finding	s of Fact		
	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been contained a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdistinguishment existed – that is				
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B which the prison term is 10 years or more.					
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is death	or life imprisonment.		
		an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten ye	ears or more is prescribed in:		
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.				
		any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim			
		the possession or use of a firearm or des a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	tructive device or any other dangerous weapon 50		
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	e defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state		
	(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).			
	(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anoth person or the community. I further find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.			
		Alternative Find	lings (A)		
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has o	committed an offense		
		for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	e is prescribed in:*		
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establishe will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the	d by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions safety of the community.		
_		Alternative Find	lings (B)		
✓	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.			
	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the			
		Part II – Statement of the Re			
evide		find that the testimony and information submitted at the dete a preponderance of the evidence that:	ntion hearing establishes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing		

1. Defendant waived his detention hearing in writing (Dkt. 14), electing not to contest detention at this time.

2. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	May 18, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	